ACADEMIE SERBE DES SCIENCES ET DES ARTS

COMITE INTERACADEMIQUE DE BALKANOLOGIE DU CONSEIL DES ACADEMIES DES SCIENCES ET DES ARTS DE LA R.S.F.Y.

INSTITUT DES ETUDES BALKANIQUES

BALCANICA

ANNUAIRE DE L'INSTITUT DES ETUDES BALKANIQUES





BELGRADE 1989



Aleksandar FOTIC
Institute for Balkan Studies
Belgrade

TAPUNAME ON VAKIF LANDS — CONTRIBUTION TO THE OTTOMAN DIPLOMATICS

Classifications and divisions of documents accustomed to Ottoman diplomatics treated only those documents which came from, or were addressed to central and local administrative governments. The official documents of private origin were considered only if they belonged to this second group.¹ These classifications did not cover the official documents which regulated relationships between persons with private attributes (the fact that some of the subscripted individuals had been of high official rank did not have importance for the form or content of the document).

This particular group of documents consists of various types of contracts (cakd), title-deeds (tapunāme), certificates (tezkire, temessük), regarding rentings accquisitions, sales, bills, recepts acknowledging debts or paying debts etc. The majority of them is of modest historical value. But, the tapunāme, on the basis of its significance, belongs to documents which deserve attention and treatment. They might offer informations of general value — for the history of agrarian and feudal relations, taxes, the manner of disposing the vakif lands, and local significance — for the history of regions with its specifics, historical geography, onomastics etc.

This line of thoughts drope me to make an analysis of a certain type of the tapunāme — the one which was given for

¹ L. Fekete, Einführung in die osmanisch-türkischen Botmassigkeit in Ungarn, Budapest 1926; Б. Недков, Османотурска дипломатика и палеография, 1, София 1966; М. Guboglu, Paleografia și Diplomatika Turco-osmana, Bucurest 1958; V. Stoyanow, Die Entstehung und Entwicklung der osmanisch-türkischen Paläographie und Diplomatik, Berlin 1983.

the vakif lands. Its function and diplomatic characteristics do not distinguish much these tapus from the tapus given for the other types of the mīrī lands.

The tapunāme was a document of private-legal character. In written sources it could be found as: tapu, tapunāme, tapu tezkiresi, tapu temessüki, tezkire, temessük. In essence it was a certificate of paid tax called tapu (resm-i tapu, resm-i tapu-yi zemīn, tapu-yi misil), and ipso facto, a certificate of the right to "use" (tasarruf) the piece of the limited land named in the paper. The tapu tezkiresi was issued by landowner (sāhib-i arz i.e. tīmār and zecāmet holders, emīn for the hāss estates, mütevellī for the vakif lands) on a particular paesant's request.

The payment, in fact, was nothing but a fixed rent paid in advance (icāre-i mucaccele). With the tapu payment, the paesant acquired the night of life "usage", of course, if he fulfilled certain conditions i.e. the obligation to pay yearly dues and taxes, or a rent (icāre-i mu'eccele). From that point of view, the tapunāme was essentially specific contract — there were obligations on both sides to be fulfilled (sāhib-i arz's to the mīrī lands, and the tasarruf holder's to the Empire and to the landowner), because, in contrary, the tapu would had been annuled.

The relationships between landowners and $re^c\bar{a}y\bar{a}$, the conditions of tasarruf, the type of land which might be or must be let on the tapu, as well as the right on the tapu itself (hakk-tasarruf), were all regulated in detail by the only real landowner of $m\bar{t}r\bar{t}$ lands — sultan, by his regulations and law-codes $(k\bar{a}n\bar{u}ns, \kappa\bar{a}n\bar{u}nn\bar{a}mes)$.

Besides tapunāmes themselves, the function of tapunāme given for vakrf lands, the right of mütevellī and the obligations

² In literature can be found thought, based on the sicills of Sofia, that the document which was given on vakif lands was temessük, but not tapunāme (В. Мутафчиева, Аграрните отношения в Османската империя през XV—XVI в, София 1962, 203—204). But, the comparison of not so small number of various kinds of tapunāmes leads to the conclusion that the term temessük was only one of many terms equally used with same significance — tapunāme (every tapunāme was understood as temessük, but not contrary).

³ Usküb ve Selanik Kanunu (1568—9) in: Ö. L. Barkan, XV ve XVI inci Asrrlarda Osmanlı İmparatorluğunda Zirai Ekonominin Hukuki ve Mali Esasları, I, Kanunlar, İstanbul 1943, 299; »Osmanlı Kanunnameleri«, Millî Tetebbular Mecmuasi, I (1331) 70; H. A. R. Gibb — Harold Bowen, Islamic Society and the West, vol. I, part II, Oxford 1957, 166.

⁴ Barkan; Osmanli Kanunnameleri, MTM, I (1331) 49—112; H. Hadžibegić, Kanunnama sultana Sulejmana Zakonodavca, Glasnik Zemaljskog muzeja u Sarajevu, nova serija, IV—V (1950) 295—382; Закон за земята от 1609 г. in: Γ. Гълъбов, Турски извори за историята на правото в Българските земи, I, София 1961, 128—201, etc.

of mutasarrif could be best seen in quoted kānūns and kānūnnāmes, fermāns, fetvās of şeyh ül-isl ms and müftīs, kāzīs register books, hüccets, mülknāmes, vakifnāmes, and some narrative sources which treated timār system and the causes of the decay of the Empire. In the kānūns and kānūnnāmes one could find the difference between wakif and sipāhī lands made by Central government. The mütevelli's authority and right on land were broader than sipāhī's. The essential difference was evident in: 1) mütevelli's right to overrule the transaction done by his precendent if the tapu had been let on a lower payment than usual, and 2) the right to return escaped recāyā without any time limit. The sipāhī's rights, on the other hand, were limited in both cases.⁵ Tasarruf holders on vakif lands were more closely connected to the land by releasing some taxes as, for example, cavāriz-i dīvānīye ve tekālif-i cörfiye.6 The privileges of vakif estates exactly show the importance of vakifs' economic life for the development of the region, as well as religious and educational. The accent here was on their general social importance, and not the fact that they had been donated to the meritorious individuals.

The tapunāme itself did not need to be registered or verified by $k\bar{a}z\bar{\imath}$. But, becouse of a number of possible complications, there were claims for exact transcript in the sicill, and more often, claims to the certificate from $k\bar{a}z\bar{\imath}$ (hüccets, temessüks).\(^1\)
That was the way of confirming its autheticity. As the regulations were provided by the law-codes, the negative reflex of disregarding the statutes, in complete could be seen in sicills. Tapunāmes were submitted as a trustworthy proof at Sacred tribunal in numerous sale-acquisitions, donations, interferings on property, reclamations and not realized duties — between tasarruf holders themselves, as well as between tasarruf holders and $s\bar{\imath}p\bar{a}hi$ or mütevelli\(^3\).

The diplomatic analyse of the tapunāme on vakif land points at a certain form which was in life until the middle of 19. century. Later on, it was transformed into printed formular which totally changed its shape.

⁵ »Osmanli Kanunnameleri«, *MTM*, 1 (1331) 95; Gibb — Bowen, vol. I, part II, 247; Закон за земята от 1609 г, in: Гълъбов, 143.

⁶ Fermān to the $k\bar{a}z\bar{i}$ of Manastir from 1635; in: Турски документи за историјата на македонскиот народ, серија 1, том II, Скопје 1966, Doc. No. 258.

⁷ M. Mujić, Sidžili mostarskog kadije, 1632—1634, Doc. No. 405, 406, 497 499

⁸ Mujić, Doc. No. 174, 186, 194, 240, 306, 308, 339, 381, 432, 500 etc; H. Ongan, Ankara'nrn Iki Numarali Şer'iye Sicili, Ankara 1974, Doc. No. 49, 254, 400, 682 etc; Турски документи..., серија 1, том I—IV, Скопје 1963—1972, a lot of examples.

External characteristics:

Tapunāme were original documents, written on paper, approximately 14×20 cm. The type of handwriting was $d\bar{i}v\bar{a}ni$ combined with rik^ca .

The signer of tapu, mütevellī or his agent, usually put his seal (mühür) on the back, but the seal was not compulsory part of the document.

Internal characteristics:

Tapunāme let on the vaktf lands were written in established form. Some terms and phrases were compulsory elements, but some of them could be omitted without changing tapu's principal content.

The tapu tezkiresi could be divided in following parts:

- 1. Temcīd (dacvet) invocation
- 2. Nakl (iblāg) narration
- 3. Transaction
- 4. Şurūt conditions
- 5. Clausula prohibitiva
- 6. Tacālīm instruction
- 7. Tārīh date
- 8. Imzā signature

As on the majority of the ottoman documents, the invocation was: • It was put on the very top of the paper.

After blank space, from the middle of the paper began the text of tapu, directly with the introduction to the nakl:

a phrase well-known from many various types of ottoman documents.

Nakl gives the exact location of the object of the transaction and explains the reasons for the issue of tapunāme. The name of the $v\bar{a}k\iota f$ and of $vak\iota f's$ village, as well as the complete boundaries of the estate, were all the obligatory components of narratio. Besides the name of the $v\bar{a}k\iota f$ there were characteristic attributes together with the pray $(duc\bar{a})$:

»The deceased and whose sins are forgiven«, sometimes »whose home is Eden«, or »let him rest in peace«.

The field (vineyard, uncultivated land etc) was in the boundary, of mentioned vakti's village. The size of the land and the exact continuous limits, from all fours sides clearly and undoubtly determined it. One tapunāme could be let on more than one field, if they were given to the same man. In that case, the reasons of letting and the complete boundaries for each one of them particularly, had to be cited. Sometimes, the land could be determined only by its name — if that was sufficiently precise.

The second but not less important part of the nakl was the couse of issuing the tapu tezkiresi. The law-codes strictly determined which kind of land could be let on tapu. The accordance with law was reflected in tapunāme in the expression:

طبهه مستحق اولد قده

Which causes were permitted by law regulations? It was explicitly forbidden the neglect and uncultivation of the arable land — becouse that was considered as a great loss for the vakif. If the land was left uncultivated for more than three years, it had to be let on tapu to the other paesant. After matasarrif's death the estate passed to his sons without tapu payment, even if they had been under age. If he left no son as a heir, before all other possibly interested persons, the priority of claim was given to close family relatives in strictly regulated order and with privileged pay for tapu. All others were obliged to pay full amount.9 Very important condition, which legalized the sale, inheritance or any other transaction, was the landowner's permission (in this case mütevelli's) for the transaction — becouse that ment new tapu payment¹⁰. If the landowner did not respect those provisions, he could be persecuted by the kāzī, which could be seen clearly in sicills.11 And if that legal neglect took wider proportions, the sultan himself interfered protecting the $rec\bar{a}y\bar{a}^{12}$.

The reasons for letting on *tapu* were evidently very important. They were written in the document according to the abovementioned conditions:

^{• »}Osmanlı Kanunnameleri«, MTM, 1 (1331), 65, 76—77; Gibb — Bowen, vol. 1, part II, 239.

¹⁰ Закон за земята от 1609 г, in: Гълъбов, 129.

¹¹ Se footnote 8.
¹² N. Filipović, Carska zapovjest Bešaretu, GZM, nova serija, IV—V (1950) 285—294.

هو

(1) باعث تحرير حروف اول در كه محييه عبلغراد ده واقع يحيالي غان محمد یاشا مرحومكُ اوقافی (2) قراسند ن میریوه نام قریه د اخلنده واقع بر طرفی امیر چلبی باغنه و بر طرفی حسن بشه باغنم و بر (3) طرفی ادام تارلاسته و طریق عام ایله محدود متمل اولوب تخمينًا اون كونلك تارلا اون (4) سنعد ن برو خالى ومعطل قالوب زراعت وحراسني ايجون امر سلطاني وارد اولوب (5) و شرعشریف طرفند ن تغویض اولنممسی ایجون اذ ن ویرلد کد ن ذكر اولتان ارض مزبور (6) توليتم حسبيله اشبو صاحب الحروف جابي مصطفى چلبييه بشيوز اقچهيه حق تصرّفن تغييض (7) اید رب مرقوم د خی عفوض و قبول قلد قد ن میگره تارلام مزبوره متمیرف اولمق (8) ایجون طرف وقفد ن طیو تذکرسنه طالب اولد قده طرفعان اشبو حروف ترقيم اولندي (9) ماد امكه اوسلوب سابق اوزره تارلام مزبورك حاصل اولان محصولي عشون طرف (10) وقفه ادا و تسلیم ایلیه تارلاط مرقوم ملك مشتراسی اولوب اخرد ن كمسنه تعبرُفنه (11) مانع اولمامق ایجون ایجون اشبو حروف برای تعبیك كتب اولنوب مرقوم مصطفى (12) جلبي يدينه وضعو د فع اولندي وقت حاجنده ابراز اید وب احتجاج ایدینه تحریرًا فی اوا (13) خرشوال المكرم لسنه ثلاث و سبعين و الف

> لفقیر حسن وکیل متولی م

^{*} Biblioteca Universitaria di Bologna, Ms 3574, № 67

or, as simply handing over $(tefv\bar{\imath}z)$ the right to *use* the holding to the other for agreed sum.

Besides the name of the new mutasarrif came the attributes often used in legal documents, such as: sāhib ül-hurūf, bācis-i tezkire etc.

The term resm-i tapu was not always quoted in literally. Obviously, it was understood simply by the mentioning of the sum. That paragraph, and that also happened sometimes, could be omitted totally by not quoting even the amount itself.

The validity of the document and the competence of mütevellī to issue the tapu were inevitably accentuated by the phrase: "upon my authority of tevliyet".

The terms tefvīz (handing over) and tefevvuz (taking possession of a thing handed over) were characteristic obligatory parts of the tapunāme. They showed the only legally possible transaction of mīri land. That kind of the land could not be sold as private property (mülk), but the right of using it could be transfered:

Surūt were indicated as the part of the tapunāme in which the mutasarrif was obliged by mütevellī to the fulfillment of the conditions legally established for "using" the land in question. In fact, legally it was considered as the payment of periodical rent (icāre-i mu'eccele). The due of the "tithe" (cöşr) was one of the most frequent obligations. As long as the conditions were fulfilled, "the land could have been enjoyed as purchased property", which ment "in the manner he wishes":

Depending on the type of the land or the right of the vakif, the conditions were heterogeneous. It could be yearly paid amount whether it was determined as *instead of the tithe* (cöşr bedeli), or not. But, it was underlined that the paying must be handed in fixed price and at once — maktūc.

The surut were closely connected with the clausula prohibitiva. As long as the mutasarrif was fulfilling the conditions, »110 one, even not the vakif authorities, had right to interfere and

disturbe his right to enjoy the land«. »That was the reason why this paper (tapunāme) was issued as certificate (temessük)«:

The text of the tapunāme ended by the instruction (tacālim) which indicated its legal power. The existance of the identical phrase in hüccets and similar certificats verified by kāzī, put the tapunāme on the same level with them, although they were not verified and registered by the court. The tapu tezkiresi itself was legal proof of the taṣarruf holding. *Let it be shown when circumstances require*:

In terms of diplomatic analysis, the date (tārīh) did not show any specifics.

The signiture (imzā) was more or less skillifully symbolized. It always belonged to the person which issued the tapunāme: the mütevellī or his agent (kāim-makām, vekil), using the phrase:

The privileges of the vakif estates could be seen also in the mütevelli's right towards the acts of his agent. According to the fetvā of şeyh ül-Islām Ebussucūd, the mütevellī could annul the tapu if his vekīl had let it for lower price. According to the fetvā of şeyh ül-Islām Yahyā, in the same kānūnname, that right was not given to the sipāhī¹³.

The significance of *mim*, the letter which was put at the end of the signature, between all its possible meanings as abbreviation, here was considered as *temme* (the end).

The proper expression of the tapuname certainly could not be get throw its separated and particularly analysed parts. That was the reason of presenting, as example, one characteristic tapuname on vakif land.

The diplomatic analysis of the tapunāme on vakif lands indicates one simple and commonly used pattern. That pattern, with small modifications, was also present on the tapunames on the other types of the mīrī land. Schematic phrases, administrative style and clearity of expressions were adapted for practical use and function of ordinary, but very important — certificate.

¹⁸ »Osmanlı Kanunnameleri«, MTM, 1 (1331) 94—95.

ТАПИЈА НА ВАКУФСКУ ЗЕМЉУ — ПРИЛОГ ОСМАНСКОЈ ДИПЛОМАТИЦИ

Резиме

Акти приватних лица су ретко разматрани у османској дипломатнии иако њихова историјска вредност није занемарљива. Тапија представља исправу приватноправног карактера којом се потврђује плаћање дажбине зване resm-i tapu, а самим тим и право притежавања одређене земље. Тапију издаје "господар земље" (sāhib-i arz), тј. спалија на тимару и зеамету, емин на хасу а мутевелија на вакуфској земљи. Дипломатичка анализа вакуфске тапије указала је на један једноставан и општекоришћен образац, присутан и код тапија на друге врсте миријске земље, уз мале и карактеристичне измене. Сувопарне фразе, административна форма и јасноћа израза прилагођени су начину употребе, њеној функцији обичне али веома важне — потврде Тапија на вакуфску земљу би се могла разложити на следеће делове: 1. temcid (инвокација), 2. nakl (нарација), 3. tefviz и tefevvuz (преношење права притежавања), 4. surut (услови), 5. clausula prohibitiva, 6. talim (упутство), 7. tarih (датум) и 8. imza (потпис). У чланку су цитирани и објашњени најкарактеристичнији изрази.

Превод документа:

Он!

Разлог писању писмена је следећи: У селу Миријеву, једном од села београдских вакуфа блаженопочившег Јахјали гази Мехмед-паше, налази се њива од приближно десет дана [орања], која је у потпуности омеђена: с једне стране Емир-челебијиним виноградом, с једне стране Хасан-бешиним виноградом, с једне стране Адамовом њивом и јавним путем. Већ десет година је пуста и занемарена. Пошто је од султана стигло наређење да се она обрађује и чува, а од часног шеријата дата дозвола за пренос, у складу са мојим правом тевлијета, право притежавања поменуте земље пренесено је за 500 акчи на иабију Мустафа-челебију, сопственика овог писмена. Пошто је споменути примио пренос и преузео њиву, да би могао да је притежава, од стране вакуфа је затражио тапијску тескеру и ја сам му оверио ово писмено. Све док на стари начин вакуфу предаје и уручује ушур од производа са поменуте њиве, она је његова купљена имовина. Да нико други не би ометао притежавање, написано је ово писмено као тсмесућ [потврда] и потом предато и положено у руку поменутог Мустафа-челебије. У случају потребе нека се покаже као доказ. Написано у последњој декади поштованог шевала хиљаду седамдесет треће године [29. V — 7. VI 1663].

Убоги Хасан, опуномоћеник мутевелије, М [свршетак]